

MAXIMUM ACCELERATION The computation of seismograms each 5° of epicentral distance on the model of asteroid has allowed the simulation of the curve of maximum accelerations as a function of the epicentral distance (Fig. 2). The amplitude of accelerations exhibits a decrease and a refocusing of the surface waves that varies as 1/sort(sin x) with x as the epicentral distance. The peak amplitude, 11 m/s² (in the Z component of the seimometer), is located at the source and at the antipode of the source.



OPTIMAL FREOUENCY BAND

Maximum frequency: several seismograms have been computed with normal modes summed in different frequency bands. We observe (Fig. 3) that the maximum of signal occurs for frequencies up to 20 Hz. The biggest boulders observed on asteroids Itokawa and Eros are of 50m length (Chapman et al., 2002, Abe et al., 2006). If we want to prevent diffraction from these heterogeneities, a wavelength superior to 50m might be adopted. Then, the maximum frequency to consider sould be 50 Hz. Minimum frequency: the constraint on the minimum of frequency is known by the frequency of the gravest simulated mode. This value is ~1 Hz for our model of asteroid. Thus, we suggest an optimal frequency band of 1 Hz - 50 Hz for seismological investigations of a spherical kilometer sized asteroid.

PRELIMINARY STUDIE

Preliminary trade-studies have been conduced to design such micro penetrators. The first trade that has been conduced was to choose between COTS geophones and MEMS seismometers developed by French DoE for its own needs. The MEMS development was chosen as more mass and power effective (Fig. 4, 5 and 6). The adaptation to our needs included a mechanical study to assess 0 g performances, shock resistance and thermal sensitivity. It included also the design of a feedback loop in order to assess the instrument electronics constraints, and the possibility to tune the sensitivity of the instrument along its various

N GOIN<u>G W</u>

The next phase of the work has been funded by CNES for Y2007. We intend to follow two main tracks: (1) Refinement of the requirements: we will use more precise model, along with the spectral element method to issue an improved version of the requirements. The direct problem will be further analyzed, as well as the inverse problem (structure information can be retrieve from a small seismometers network deployed at the surface of a given model). (2) Sensor development: on a technological point of view, we will focus our study on the following tasks (a) improvement of the capacitive sensor electronics. (b) realization of an electronics breadboard to evaluate the performances of the seismometers, and (c) preliminary breadboarding of a power and telecom system to support the sensors.

noise

nodeling

(and sub

systems

SIGNAL

Figure 6.

MEMS

feedback

modeling

10-9

€ 10⁻¹⁰

DISPLACMENT

SENSOR

Ddar

MECANICS

ELECTROSTATIC

TRIGGER

10° 10' FREQUENCY (HERTZ)

DISPLACMENT

PID DERIVATIVE PID INTEGRA

FEDBACK AO NOISE

SCOP

OUTPUT DISPLACMENT SENSOR

CORRECTOR

ACCELERATION

CONCLUSION

We have computed the maximum accelerations and optimal frequency band on the surface of an elementary asteroid model. This study allows us to suggest a value of 11m/s² for the maximum acceleration, and an optimal frequency band of 1Hz-50Hz. These values have helped to issue a first set of requirements for a mission dedicated to the exploration of the interior of an asteroid, thanks to the deployment of a seismometer network. However, it should be mentioned that these values may significantly vary because seismic responses of asteroids are strongly dependant of their asphericity. Thus, synthetic seismograms of more complex models (a three-dimensional model of the shape of the asteroid Eros) will be simulated by the spectral element method [3]. Work is on-going on both requirement and technological sides

REFERENCES: [1] Blitz, C. et al. (2006) EGU Annual meeting, abs. EGU06-A-06034; [2] Ball, A. J. et al. (2004) In Mitigation of Hazardous Impacts Due to Asteroids and Comets (eds., Belton, M.J.S. et al.), p. 266-291; [3] Kor Array Analysis of Broadband Seismograms (eds., Levander, A. et al.), p. 205:227.[4] Chapman, C, et al. (2002) Impact history of Eros: craters and boulders. Icarus, 155, 104-118, 2002. Credit:NASA/JPL-Caltech/T. Pyle (SSC)